## CASE NAME: Indiana Recount Commission Hearing

DATE TAKEN: November 10, 2006

Connor+Associates, Inc. 1650 One American Square Box 82020 Indianapolis, IN 46282 (800) 554-3376 BEFORE THE STATE RECOUNT COMMISSION SITTING AT INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA

Taken On: November 10, 2006

A STENOGRAPHIC RECORD BY: Judith E. Bellinger, RPR Notary Public Stenographic Reporter

Connor +Associates, Inc. 1650 One American Square Indianapolis, IN 46282 (317)236-6022

Connor+ Associates (317) 236-6022

Page 2 1 (The following proceedings were transcribed 2 from an audio tape provided by the office of the Secretary of State.) HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Pledge of Allegiance. (Thereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Thank you very much. I'll call this meeting to order. 10 I'm joined, as Secretary of State, by 11 Gordon Durnil, our Republican appointed member 12 of the Recount Commission, and also 13 newly-appointed member of the Recount 14 Commission, representing the Democrats, Mr. Ed 15 Delaney. 16 Commission members welcome. Thank you for 17 your service today, as always. 18 I'm also joined up here by Republican 19 attorney to the Commission, Brad King and 20 Democrat attorney to the Commission, Kristi 21 Robertson. 22 First agenda item is to recognize notice of 23 the meeting. I see that it appears to have been 24 properly posted two days in advance. There is 25 no indication on here that it was exactly 48

Page 3 1 hours. 2 Is there any objection or would you like testimony from the person who posted it? MR. DELANEY: Not necessary. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Not necessary. Counsel, do you think it's necessary? MS. ROBERTSON: No. MR. KING: No. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Okay. We'll take 10 notice. 11 On introductory remarks, there have been no 12 recounts filed, nor any contests filed. 13 was a meeting that I put notice out for and 14 wanted to prepare for in a proactive 15 organizational/status-type fashion. I don't 16 know if any recounts or contests will come, but 17 on behalf of the people of Indiana, I wanted to 18 make sure that the state was ready to act, act 19 efficiently, and act responsibly. So that's 20 what you see here in your agenda item. 21 Since we've posted notice for the 22 recount -- or excuse me, for the Recount 23 Commission meeting, there has become an issue 24 for Marion County in the form of a verified

petition, and I believe both Republican and

25

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 4

Democrat members have that copy, so we will be discussing that, as well.

Before we do anything substantively, however, I want to make sure that we have a proper administration of oath. So, Gordon, in your packet there should be -- excuse me, I have that -- an oath of office for you to sign for this term of the Recount Commission. And, Ed, for you as well. If you could execute those for me.

Thank you, sir. Thank you.

Received properly executed oaths for both the Republican and Democrat members of the Recount Commission.

We can either go on with point V of the agenda, this was an agenda that was made before the verified petition, or if this Commission prefers, and it would be my recommendation, to take the Marion County issue first, so that can be resolved and they have some direction.

Is there any objection to taking up the Marion County issue first? Okay.

Sent in your packet was a verified petition along with a cover letter from the Marion County Election Board. I'll give the members time to

Page 5 1 look at that. 2 As chair of the Recount Commission, I would like to suggest that we take a little bit of testimony with regard to this. I don't recall any of my time serving as attorney to the Recount Commission, or being on it, that we've had a petition like this. And I would like to suggest that we hear from the Marion County Election Board, who I see here, and any others 10 who will want to testify on this matter. 11 Is there any objection to that? 12 MR. DELANEY: No. 13 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Hearing none, we'll 14 go ahead and take that testimony. 15 All of those who intend to testify in the 16 Marion County matter, if you could please rise 17 and raise your right hand. 18 Let me go around the room and get, for the 19 record, the names. I see Cody Kendall. 20 MR. KENDALL: Correct. 21 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Dale Simmons. 22 MR. SIMMONS: Here. 23 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: You, sir? 24 MR. FAHRBACH: Greg Fahrbach. 25 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Greg Fahrbach.

Page 6 1 Lacey Johnson. Say, yes. 2 MR. JOHNSON: Yes. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Scott Chin, Doris Anne Sadler, Frank Otte, and --MR. SULLIVAN: Mark Sullivan. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Mark Sullivan and Brad King. MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, for the record, to the extent that any testimony involves 10 attorney-client privilege with my co-general 11 counsel, Dale Simmons, I waive that privilege, 12 for the record. 13 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Thank you. 14 Could you administer the oath, please. 15 MR. KING: Oh, certainly. 16 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Kristi, could you 17 administer the oath, since you're not a witness. 18 (Thereupon, Ms. Robertson administered the 19 oath to all potential witnesses.) 20 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: For the record, I 21 audibly heard every name mentioned say I do. 22 I recognize the president of the Marion 23 County Election Board, Frank Otte. 24 MR. OTTE: Can I move this microphone 25 (inaudible)?

(Laughter.)

MR. OTTE: Can we do something different with that? There we go. Thank you.

Mr. Chairman, thank you for taking this opportunity to fit us in and move us up on your schedule.

As set out in both the federal letter and the petition that we sent to the board earlier today, in Marion County we face a unique circumstance with our Ivotronic machine.

By way of background, the Ivotronic machines that are used in Marion County comply with federal law for any kind of acceptable voters. They were used for the first time this past Tuesday in the elections. Unfortunately, based upon a programming error in the machines, at the time of closing these machines at 6:00 p.m., they were not able to be effectively closed by our poll workers.

It is not an error of our poll workers, maybe the program was based upon Pennsylvania time of 8:00. That's neither here nor there, but our board workers could not effectively -- properly close the machines. Had they done so, they would have been able to print

what we call a tally sheet.

A tally sheet is a final report of votes that come in at the end of the day. They do that on the other machines that we used in the precincts, called the M-100. They properly closed them out and print out tally sheets, and then when we do a canvass, we can match that up with the canvass sheet and check the votes.

Unfortunately, for the Ivotronics, because they were not able to be properly closed out, we have no data from the Ivotronic machines to now proceed with the canvass with.

It is necessary to get an Ivotronic machine, PEB -- PEB card and a flash card, and put them all with the machine, open the machine up, attach what's called a communication package, and properly close the machine, at which point it will give us a tally of the votes registered on the machine.

Because that is the steps that we have to take as a board to properly canvass and properly collect and evaluate the votes for the Ivotronic machines, in our review, the statutes that were applicable in this situation, the board came across the statute that was cited both, I

Page 9

believe, in our letter and our petition, which is 3-12-4-18, and it deals with the inspection of voting machines and electronic voting system counters entitled "authority in time." And in that statute, there appears to be, based upon our reading of it, at least the probability that we, the board, needed to come to this State Recount Commission to ask them permission to proceed.

Now that is the board's -- we've read it and it is our obligation, as a board, much specifically as a chairman, to make sure that I take every step necessary to make sure that the votes are properly calculated, tabulated, and put in a final tally.

After reading the statute that I cited to you and discussions with board members, and both local parties, myself and in agreement, we were very uneasy proceeding because we have approximately 520 Ivotronic machines that exist that we need to recover the votes on.

We felt that had we proceeded without at least appearing before the board -- the Recount Commission and discussing this matter, that we potentially put a risk to those votes.

2

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 10

With the number of tight races that we have in Marion County at this time, we felt it prudent to come before the board with this petition and seek some direction from the board on how to proceed; and that's what brings us here today.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Thank you very much.

Questions from the members?

MR. DELANEY: Yeah. Do you get any kind of paper tally out of this machine?

Does it print out like the card reader machine does, the ballot reader machine?

MR. OTTE: Yes, sir, it does, when it's properly closed. We will do that -- that's what we hope -- we're asking permission to proceed with.

MR. DELANEY: And I happened to have been in a precinct which had trouble with this machine.

MR. OTTE: Yes.

MR. DELANEY: And we did not have anybody use that machine, but we simply disabled it.

Got it out of the way. It was preventing the card reading from working. So we got it out of

the way, so we didn't have a problem.

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But help me understand, if you hook this up, will this spit out a printed total, by itself, as the first thing that happens?

MR. OTTE: Yes, sir. What will occur is, if you give us a green light, we have ES&S technicians here with what's called a communication package, they FedEx'd that overnight, they've been charged, and we're ready to proceed.

They will attach that communication package -- we will physically take each Ivotronic machine, set it up, put in the PEB, the flash card, attach the communication package, properly close the system out. And when we close the system out, Mr. Delaney, it will print a report just like you received with your M-100 on election day.

MR. DELANEY: Okay. And so you have that, even if everything went downhill after that?

MR. OTTE: Yes, sir.

MR. DELANEY: Any chance that you lose getting that printed total because of this process so that we never get anything?

MR. OTTE: No, sir. We -- and I can speak

to that. And that's a great question.

2

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Besides the fact that we can recreate different components of that process that I just described, and I may mispronounce it, but there is called a V-chip recovery system that ES&S can do, and that is a process that will allow us to actually open the machine up and electronic components and go in and recover that data.

Now, obviously, we would not proceed to the next step until we came back before the board.

MR. DELANEY: Which is, of course, what we did with the other machine. First we printed the total.

MR. OTTE: Yes.

MR. DELANEY: Then when we got the chip out and sent it down there.

MR. OTTE: That's right.

MR. DELANEY: So, in effect, this will now be Tuesday night at 8:00, be either Indiana or Pennsylvania time.

MR. OTTE: Yes, sir. That's exactly what we're doing.

MR. DELANEY: All right. Thank you.

MR. OTTE: Yes.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Gordon.

Page 13 1 Do you have your code book? 2 I do, sir. MR. OTTE: HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: 3-12-3.56. MR. OTTE: 3-12. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: 3.56. It's about two pages inside of the statute you quoted me. MR. DELANEY: 437. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: 437, thank you. I'll give you time to digest it. 10 "To minimize the delay in the counting of a vote, canvassing must begin immediately upon the 11 12 closing of the polls and continue without 13 interruption until all of the votes are 14 canvassed and all certificates of the vote 15 required under section 3 of the chapter are 16 completed and delivered to the persons entitled 17 to receive the certificates." 18 By coming here, are you disrupting that 19 directive under Indiana Law? 20 MR. OTTE: Sir, we do not believe we are 21 for this purpose: If we, as a board, felt that 22 we needed permission under the statute that I've 23 previously cited in my responsibility as 24 chairman of the election board, and I proceeded 25 in a hurry to get these votes off to satisfy

Page 14

everybody, and then we had a close race and a party decided to challenge it, and they challenged it on the basis of not seeking permission before the board earlier, that's a risk with these votes that I didn't want to take.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Thank you. If the poll workers had stayed until 8:00, until the machines decided they were going to close down, would all of this have worked out fine?

MR. OTTE: Yes, sir, it would have. But our problem is, as you know, many poll workers are there for — well, we ask them to be there at 5:00 in the morning. By 6:00, they have put in a 13-hour day; and to ask them to stay around for two more hours to wait for a machine to close was just not feasible.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: When did the Marion County Election Board discover that the machines were programmed to close down at 8:00 p.m.?

MR. OTTE: My best guess would be at approximately 6:05 when my phone bank went up.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: So not until actual close of the polls?

MR. OTTE: Yes, sir, that's when I first

became aware of it.

2

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We were anticipating a pretty smooth close-down period, and that became evident that that was not happening with the volume of calls that we were receiving.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Would it also -- as an alternative to this from coming here or this commission having to meddle in what I believe is a local issue, and I have great respect for local election boards and they have tremendous duties under this law, you know, before any issue should even get here.

MR. OTTE: Right.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Is another alternative to this just — the precinct boards are also intact, they're still sworn in. And until you get canvass, is my understanding, they are still under oath and doing their duties.

Assuming that what you're saying, they couldn't fulfill their duties; they could not get you a count, and that's your testimony, right?

MR. OTTE: Correct.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: It's impossible to get a count at the precinct that night.

Page 16 1 MR. OTTE: No, we have counts, just haven't 2 been collected and tabulated yet. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Like it should have been at the precinct level? MR. OTTE: Yes, sir. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Can't you just switch or substitute those inspectors now and give the local election board the authority to make that count right now? 10 MR. OTTE: I'm not sure I understand your 11 question. 12 Are you asking that we assume 13 responsibility for what the precinct workers 14 were supposed to do? 15 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Right. You have 16 the ability to remove an inspector. 17 Couldn't you do that, replace those 18 inspectors with deputies of the Marion County 19 Election Board's, or yourselves, and proceed 20 with the count? 21 MR. OTTE: Sir, we probably could have, and 22 that's an alternative that until this question, 23 we hadn't considered. But it puts me in the 24 same position. 25 As chairman of the board and my

Page 17 1 interpretation of -- my reading of the statute 2 that I've previously cited, and discussions with both other members of the board, both members of the Republican and Democrat party in Marion County and their counsel, we thought it was the 6 wisest choice for me to come here and discuss this matter with the State Recount Commission so that we have directive for some idea of how to proceed so that I don't put any votes at risk. 10 I could have jumped ahead and done that 11 alternative, but down the road if we have a 12 close race, somebody is going to 13 raise -- somebody's going to raise the issue 14 (inaudible) and it would have been my fault. 15 I try to avoid those situations. 16 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Can't win for 17 losing, right? 18 No. So I'm here today to get 19 some direction. 20 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Any other questions 21 based on my questions? 22 MR. DELANEY: Am I safe to assume that this 23 will be a bipartisan effort when this is opened 24 up? 25 MR. OTTE: Sir, this has been a bipartisan

effort from Tuesday night at 8:00.

2

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DELANEY: I want to congratulate the local election board on voting unanimous, because it is clear we ought to count those votes and congratulate them that that's the right attitude.

MR. OTTE: I can tell you that it's gone very smoothly and both parties have worked very well together to get to this point.

MR. DELANEY: Thank you. No more questions from me.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Does counsel have any questions?

Next person to testify, maybe from the Democrat side of the election board.

Mr. Sullivan. Thank you, Mr. Otte.

MR. OTTE: Sure.

MR. SULLIVAN: Mark Sullivan, Democrat member of the election board.

I concur with Mr. Otte. We have worked together on this issue and we looked at the statutes. Our reading of them makes us a little -- well, made us really hesitant to move forward unless we had authorization from this board. In the event that there was a close race

Page 19

later on, we didn't want these votes to be at risk.

And, again, I would like to reiterate we've all stated that it's important that every vote that's cast be counted because the problems with existence, these votes have not yet been counted, so I think it's important that we get the authorization.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Do you not agree that you could remove the inspectors in the respective precincts and substitute yourselves in a bipartisan way and continue on with the count?

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I think there's maybe another issue that once these machines leave precincts, they're now under our custody as the election board. And the statute says there's an issue regarding having to get into these machines — actually physically get into these machines, we have to ask permission from the Recount Commission.

So, I mean, that's possible, but, again, as Mr. Otte said, he said we didn't want that risk of trying to do maybe something maybe easier that way. We thought it was important with this

2

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 20

statute -- reading this statute, we thought we should come before you to seek authorization.

Basically to allow now to go inside those machines and gather the votes that have been cast.

MR. DELANEY: You're going to have somebody there to do it?

MR. SULLIVAN: Absolutely.

MR. DELANEY: I don't think the Secretary fully understands that. Seems to be suggesting that somebody is given a title as replacement inspector, in fact, and that they then do it.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: My point is that this has always been a matter of the local election board, and it's always been solved at the local level.

There are 26 other counties that use this type of equipment. There's been DRE machines used in this state since 1986, and, you know, I think we have to look for every possible way to let the local election boards solve this.

Because the same issue you're bringing up to me, I have, and this Commission has.

What if we get ourselves into making a decision and giving a directive that we, in

2

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 21

fact, don't have the authority to make because it was really the local elections board's to get that first count?

MR. DELANEY: I think there's an easy solution to that.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: What's that?

MR. DELANEY: They've come to us asking that we approve their conduct. And I think we can -- I would suggest a two-headed resolution that says if to the extent that we have authority, we approve that conduct. To the extent that we don't have authority, we will not interfere with the proposal they have made.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: As to --

MR. DELANEY: What they're proposing to do. They just go ahead and do what they're proposing to do.

At this point, whether we have jurisdiction or not, we've protected the interest of getting all of the votes counted, and I think that would solve everybody's problem and we don't have to go to court about jurisdiction and all that because I think I've kind of gotten rid of that problem.

MR. SULLIVAN: I think that's the purpose.

Page 22 1 The statute, the way we read it, says we have to 2 come here and seek authorization. MR. DURNIL: The statute says you may come here. MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, we may come here. 6 MR. DURNIL: You don't have to come here; you may come here. MR. DELANEY: I can vote this -- you know, I love you and I approve you and you guys can 10 We're not going to pick on them. They're 11 happy and I get this done. 12 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: I agree that we 13 want every vote counted, that's not the issue 14 It's just how we go about doing it, and I 15 don't want to be doing this three times or in 16 court either. 17 There were some other people -- is there 18 someone here from ES&S? 19 There's nobody here from ES&S. MR. OTTE: 20 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Hold on, Mr. Otte. 21 Mr. McClamroch, are you representing ES&S? 22 Mr. Chairman, I would ask for MR. OTTE: 23 permission real quick before somebody answers to 24 make this clear.

Under my directorate, nobody is here from

25

Page 23 1 ES&S. I felt this was a counting issue that 2 deals with our responsibility. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Well, I have a question for ES&S, so --MR. OTTE: I understand. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: So I appreciate your directorate. MR. OTTE: I understand. I told our employees that I have at this time are at the 10 warehouse are busy working on our canvass and I 11 didn't want to pull them away. 12 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Are you 13 representing, yes or no? 14 Can you make testimony on their behalf? 15 MR. MCLAMROCH: I do represent ES&S, but in 16 terms of the facts of this situation, you would 17 probably want to ask somebody from the company 18 itself. 19 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Would you be able 20 to testify as to whether or not they 21 physically -- the Marion County Election Board 22 physically has to get inside the machine or if 23 they can use these flash cards and PEBs in a 24 normal counting capacity?

No.

MR. MCLAMROCH:

25

Page 24 1 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Okay. Thank you. 2 MR. MCLAMROCH: Sorry. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Frank, would you be able to testify to that? MR. OTTE: Yes, sir. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Okay. Go ahead. MR. OTTE: I can assure you that we need the machine, the actual Ivotronic machine, the flash card, and the PEB, and the communication 10 package that we now have to complete this 11 process. 12 If that was your question. 13 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: You physically have 14 to get inside the machine and do a count that's 15 not normally done -- in a way that's not 16 normally done. 17 MR. OTTE: It's not normally done in a 18 canvass because we would have already had the 19 report. 20 This procedure we're asking to do would 21 have normally been done at each precinct. 22 MR. DELANEY: Let's get the language 23 straight for this. 24 "Canvass" means on election night I print 25 something out and it tells me how many people

Page 25 1 voted for A and B, right? 2 MR. OTTE: Yes. MR. DELANEY: That was not done on these touch screen machines for technical reasons? MR. OTTE: That's correct. It was done --MR. DELANEY: MR. OTTE: On the M-100s. MR. DELANEY: On the other machines? MR. OTTE: Yes, sir. 10 MR. DELANEY: I assume it was done where 11 there was a paper ballot for some reason? 12 MR. OTTE: Yes, sir. 13 MR. DELANEY: It was done, okay. Now, 14 next, separate, we have a total -- we know how 15 many people used that machine in each precinct, 16 don't we, already? 17 MR. OTTE: No, sir, we don't. 18 MR. DELANEY: Well, we can do the count 19 backwards. You know how many people used the 20 optical reader that reads the handwritten 21 ballot. 22 MR. OTTE: We can get the poll book. 23 MR. DELANEY: But you can do the math. 24 MR. OTTE: We can do the math and tell you, 25 yes.

Page 26 1 MR. DELANEY: How many people voted on that 2 machine. MR. OTTE: Yes, sir, we could. MR. DELANEY: I guess there may be a stray person who went through all this trouble on 6 another machine and went out and had a beer. MR. OTTE: Yes. MR. DELANEY: But fundamentally, you know how many people voted and didn't vote? 10 MR. OTTE: Sir, we can determine that. 11 MR. DELANEY: In my precinct we 12 (inaudible). 13 MR. OTTE: I don't know the answer right 14 now because we haven't done that groundwork, but 15 we could determine that. 16 And we do know that there are votes on some 17 of these machines. 18 MR. DELANEY: I think you're saying and 19 what you proposing to do constitutes an 20 inspection. 21 MR. OTTE: Yes, sir. 22 MR. DELANEY: Or at least may under this 23 statute. 24 MR. OTTE: That's a risk that I don't want 25 to take without having this conversation.

Page 27 1 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: But you can 2 quarantee the tapes will come out first? That's the first --MR. OTTE: HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Because to make the inspection make sense, you'll have to inspect the back of the machine and then compare it to some kind of tape, right? MR. OTTE: No, no, no. I need to back up. When we open these machines, the first 10 thing we're doing is properly closing them. Αt 11 this point, we'll have a tape. 12 MR. DELANEY: Let me please ask you to go 13 in my precinct or some other precincts where 14 there were no votes on there and where the 15 canvass shows that and test it there. 16 Do not go to some critical precinct. 17 MR. OTTE: We have that plan in place. 18 MR. DELANEY: And let's run a bunch of 19 these zero vote precincts and be competent that 20 the technology is right. 21 MR. OTTE: Do you remember your precinct, 22 Mr. Delaney? 23 MR. DELANEY: Yes, Ward 20, Precinct 3. 24 MR. OTTE: That plan is in place, and 25 that's a good point that we plan on doing.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Thank you, Mr. Otte. I appreciate that.

There were other people who raised their hand to testify. We're going to deliberate this now, unless those people who raised their hand absolutely has something new to say to add to this debate.

MR. CHIN: Scott Chin, here to represent the Libertarian party along with Lacey Johnson and Greg Fahrbach. Working in a bipartisan way with the Marion County Election Board, we support this petition.

The only new thing I have to say, which I know is similar in some ways, it is unstated because it surrounds or is the backbone of why you're doing what you're doing and why the Marion County Election Board has been acting in a bipartisan way today to bring you this question, and that is, let's not forget when we use words like "Ivotronic machines, M-100 machines," what we're really talking about -- which is the fact that there are people who came out to vote on election day and registered and recorded their votes on this machine, which is a touch screen machine, which we call the

Ivotronic machine, some of the people were persons with disabilities. I think that's why, in part, those machines exist. Some of those people were not persons with disabilities, and their votes are sitting recorded on this machine.

What we need from this Commission is clarity today that we can go back in a bipartisan way, in a way that we've worked hard in the last few days since election day, and count those votes.

And I think that's something that needed to be kind of clear as we talk about tallying the votes.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Thank you.

Mr. Fahrbach.

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. FAHRBACH: I think the most overlying thing that we must recognize here is that the reason that these machines exist is to enfranchise voters with disabilities in age and population. And whatever decision this board makes, I think it's important that we recognize that as the overlying issue.

We have had nothing but bipartisan cooperation from Clerk Sadler all the way

Page 30

through the board and their opportunities.

Interestingly enough, how it all got started is that (inaudible) and then the local advisory committee, which I had the opportunity to be counsel for, tried to create and did create what we thought was a very positive and inclusive environment for voting for people with disabilities. And, that, too, is a bipartisan organization that basically put together a program where all our polling places would be accessible. And the catch, though, obviously are these machines.

Interestingly enough, though, is that people with disabilities for years have been disenfranchised in this process, yet, today we're still having to discuss the idea that now that we've created accessible polling machines, that their votes still weren't able to count — be counted in a timely manner with all other votes. And that is probably the most important issue I think we have.

We haven't cleared up (inaudible) we have got to give direction to the good people that are trying to accomplish this goal. But in the end, we've got to make sure that when people

Page 31

with disabilities go to the polls, they don't feel that, okay, is this going to count? Did it not count? Are we going to have to have discussion about it later? Are they going to count me two days later?

We talk about count and we talk about voting. A lot of folks with disabilities have never had the opportunity to vote privately over the past 200 years. They've always had people come in the polls with us or help out, et cetera. And now we create an opportunity that that is accomplished, but yet here today we're still talking about does everybody's vote count. And I think that that's the petition before us.

Technically, I agree with Mr. Delaney, that that's the answer that he's laid out, but we have to always keep in mind we can do nothing that would cause people with disabilities to in any way be suspect that the process has somewhat failed.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Thank you.

Any other comments?

I have one comment. I don't think that that's the issue at all. It's not if these votes will count. No one in this room thinks

that those votes shouldn't count; it's the most -- figuring out the most efficient and legal way to make sure that those votes count. And if this Commission didn't care about that, we wouldn't be here today.

Any other testimony in this regard that has something new to add that's relevant to the verified petition before us?

MR. DURNIL: Does anyone have any disagreement that the board has the authority, and to this statute, to grant this petition?

I mean, as I read it, it appears we have the authority to grant the petition if we want to, but is there a counter out there?

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Seeing none, for the record.

Yes, sir.

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission, no disagreement with regard to the statements made earlier by members of the Commission.

One issue that the counsels have discussed is with regard to Section 22 of the chapter, which states that "If this inspection is conducted and a discrepancy between the precinct

Page 33

printout and the totals on the voting machine is discovered, that the information on the voting machine becomes the official count for that precinct for purposes of any recount or contest."

The question I would have, that perhaps members of the county election board can address, is noting that there's at least one potential in Marion County for a state representative race to come before this body if a recount is filed, and although the consent of candidates is certainly not required, whether notice either has been given or would be given before the process begins?

MR. DELANEY: Are you under 3-12-4-22?
MR. KING: Yes, sir.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: I'll let the election board respond to that.

MR. DELANEY: Are you suggesting that there is no precinct election board? Is that what you're saying? So the correct total would be the county -- that comes are the county board?

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Go ahead, Brad.

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Commissioner, as I read the statute previous to that, Section

Page 34

21, it says "If there is a discrepancy between the number of votes registered on the electronic voting system and the returns made by the precinct election board," which I understand to be the print — the paper printout that would come after the machines were closed, or the tally sheets, "that the electronic version," under this statute "becomes the prima fascia evidence of the vote."

In other words, it has an effect on what's presented at any recount proceeding that comes before this body.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Do you have any further question?

MR. DELANEY: Well, I think we ought to ask the election board how they construe that paragraph.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Thanks, Mr. Otte.

MR. OTTE: I'm going to try to respond to that.

Unfortunately, given the situation we're in, we — this statute would probably not apply because we're not going to have a discrepancy. For this statute to apply, my quick reading over here and my understanding of it, is that we've

Page 35

got to match the tabulation from the precinct board, which did not occur, and then discrepancy in the canvassing process.

Unfortunately, because the machines were not closed properly, we're only going to have one report, which is the report we'll receive after today's discussions, if so approved.

Now, let me go a little further to let you know that this matter has already been addressed in a bipartisan way, a little bit, and we have an understanding as to how we're going to proceed, and that is, we are going to open up and canvass, inspect, and run a count on all Ivotronic machines, all 500 and approximately 20 that we have, and the report that comes off that machine for the first time is the report that we are going to use.

So this issue has been anticipated by myself, discussed with both sides, and we will — at the end of the day we will have two canvass reports, one for the M-100 and one for the Ivotronic. But we won't have a discrepancy for the Ivotronic because we don't have anything to match it up to, which is why we're here.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Do you have

follow-up, Mr. King?

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, I appreciate the additional information Mr. Otte's provided, but my question is really very basic, and that is: There's the potential for proceedings to come before this body, not the Marion County Election Board, that involves two candidates for House District 97.

My simple question is: Has the county election board notified both of those candidates regarding their plans to act under this statute? And if not, will the county election board do so before it proceeds?

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Mr. Otte.

MR. OTTE: As chairman of the Marion County Election Board, I have not contacted either candidate. I have been working since Tuesday night hand-in-hand with leaders of both political parties, with both Democrat and Republican parties.

I do not know if they have contacted them. If this board would like for me, after your direction, to contact both parties and advise them -- or contact both candidates and let them know this is how we're going to proceed, I can

do that.

I would like to avoid any further delay because there is a lot of interest on these machines, and that's why we're here today to proceed properly. But if this board somehow feels that I need to get a hold of both of those candidates, I can do that.

Now, in response to that question, though, because we're dealing with 520 Ivotronic machines, which covers the entire Marion County, and House District 97 is not my only close race right now, theoretically, I've got to call every candidate and every counsel for every race in Marion County; and I don't have time to do that.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Well, to follow-up there, I think, if I understand your question right, not all of those candidates are going to come here. They can't. It's only the legislative ones -- or state ones that would have to come here.

MR. KING: That's correct.

MR. OTTE: That may be true. As you've indicated with jurisdictional issues, I would have to evaluate whether or not I've got issues at a local level, and I need to notify them for

Page 38 1 that. 2 MR. DELANEY: Mr. Chairman, I think the Democratic county chairman is here. Is anybody here for the Republican party? Any representative of the Marion County Republican party? Could we ask you that if we pass --HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: I'll ask the question. 10 MR. DELANEY: I would just ask you notify 11 that person, Mr. Murphy. Just let Mr. Murphy 12 know and he can decide. Those are his 13 candidates. 14 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Go ahead Mr. 15 (inaudible). 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I can tell you that 17 I've spoken to Mr. Murphy, and he's given me the 18 green light to proceed, which is why I'm here 19 today, and Mr. Tracey's here. 20 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: And those are 21 potential parties --22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, sir. 23 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: -- to a future 24 recount, but also we'll have the candidates 25 independent of that.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. Mr. Murphy's aware of me being here and is okay with what I'm doing today, and asked me to proceed in the manner I thought best.

So, I can tell you from the leadership of the Republican party, we're okay.

MR. DELANEY: Mr. Tracey, do you have any objection?

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: And nothing from the candidates themselves.

Any other questions?

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm going to ask Mr. Delaney to repeat his motion for the record, and then we'll deliberate quickly and take a vote on it.

Before I do, I do want to, for the record, respond to some testimony made -- that was said by my good friend, Mr. Chin, and my former law schoolmate, that you needed us to clarify the law.

I just want to say for this record and for -- I have to have a wider view on this. There's going to be recounts and contests in the future that don't have anything to do with Marion County. There's going to be a lot of parties that come before this Commission now and

in the future, and I have to look at this Commission in that light.

You don't need us to clarify the law, you need lawyers and, unfortunately, maybe a court of law. It's their job to interpret and clarify, and you don't need us to make a decision.

I gave you at least one example of how you could replace an inspector and deputies, other folks, and conduct this at the local level, which is the best place to handle, at least at first, all election disputes.

Again, I don't say that to disparage anybody. I compliment the bipartisanship, as well, but we are, I think, as a Commission, setting some — not dangers, but maybe unprecedented precedence here that I hope other counties don't decide to follow; that they work this out at the local election board with duly appointed members of the parties and of the public to solve this themselves because we are not an arbiter until a recount or contest is actually filed.

Having said that, I will entertain a motion from Mr. Delaney to resolve this issue.

MR. DELANEY: My motion is that the board act on the assumption it has authority to approve the petition, that it do so. But in the same resolution, I asked, that in the alternative, if it were to be determined that we didn't have the authority, that the board also states that it has no intention of interfering with that procedure or obstructing the procedure proposed by the Marion County Board.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: And I'll second that.

Discussion?

1

2

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hearing none, any comments from counsel on the legality of this or on the wording that needs to be used to issue this order?

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, unless the Commission has concern regarding the requirement of documentation notice to the candidates that was discussed, otherwise, no.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Can we include in that --

MR. DELANEY: Notice to the county chairman and, to the extent possible, to any potential candidate.

Please note, this is a public meeting, we

Page 42 1 posted the notice. But I would add in that we 2 give notice to the two county chairmen, oral would be adequate, give them a copy of the resolution which you asked us to approve, and to any candidate; ask the chairman to assist you in 6 doing that. So I add that, if the second would agree to that addition to my resolution. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: I would. And it 10 only has to be the candidate that might 11 eventually appear here, theoretically. 12 Any comments on the addition? 13 Hearing none, all in favor of the motion 14 please signify by saying aye. 15 MR. DELANEY: Aye. 16 MR. DURNIL: Aye. 17 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Any opposed? 18 Hearing none, the motion passes. You shall 19 have that in writing. 20 Please continue. Thank you very much. 21 Moving on to agenda item V -- I'll give 22 them a moment. You guys aren't interested in 23 the rest of it? 24 (A discussion was held off the record.) 25 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Okay, proceeding on

Page 43

with Roman numeral V in the agenda, the appointment of recount director and designation of authority.

Heather Willis was our recount director. She is retired, so the speak, from state government service. I have asked Brad Skolnik to come forward today for your consideration for appointment as a recount director. I've enclosed a proposed resolution from the Commission in that regard.

Brad Skolnik has been recount director before when he was in service at the Secretary of State's Office. He also comes to us as a former securities commissioner for the State of Indiana. He is knowledgable about recount guidelines, knowledgable about recount recount — Indiana recount law.

I'll ask him to step forward and make any remarks he would like to, and then the Commission can ask him questions, if they'd like.

Brad. Thank you. Thank you for your proposed service here.

MR. SKOLNIK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

It's a pleasure to be here today. I don't know

Page 44

why everybody lost interest after that, but I thought the best is yet to come.

It would be my honor to serve as recount director. As Secretary Rokita indicated, I did that previously back, I believe it was in 2002, when I was also at that time still serving as securities commissioner and I was chief of staff of the Secretary of State's Office.

I think I'm obviously reasonably familiar with the procedures and the laws and guidelines governing recounts in Indiana. They have periodically changed since that time.

I'd be happy to entertain any questions that the members may have.

I can assure the Commission that based on my prior experience and knowledge as recount director, both with election laws, laws, and my tenure in the Secretary of State's Office as securities commissioner, that I certainly understand the importance of maintaining the integrity of the process and the fact that it will — should be subject to the highest levels of scrutiny.

I'd be happy to answer any questions at this time.

Page 45 1 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Thank you, 2 Mr. Skolnik. Mr. Delaney, Mr. Durnil. MR. DELANEY: I'm just glad you found him. He's willing to do it. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Thank you. Mr. Durnil. MR. DURNIL: I have no questions. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: I move that we 10 appoint Mr. Skolnik as recount director pursuant 11 to the written proposal you have before you, 12 2006-01. 13 MR. DELANEY: I'll second that. 14 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Any discussion? 15 Hearing none, all in favor signify by saying 16 aye. 17 MR. DURNIL: Aye. 18 MR. DELANEY: Aye. 19 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Any opposed? 20 Hearing none, so moved. 21 Thank you for your service, Mr. Skolnik. 22 We're grateful. 23 Item Roman numeral VI, review and amendment 24 of Recount Commission guidelines. 25 I'll turn this over to Brad and Kristi for

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 46

discussion of the recount guidelines, a brief one.

MR. KING: Thank you. Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission, under the recount statute, the State Recount Commission has adopted recount guidelines. Many of the procedures for notice and Commission proceedings are spelled out in state law, but the nitty gritty details of how recounts are conducted by State Board of Accounts and state police, who I believe may have representatives here today, are spelled out and stated in the guidelines. They've been periodically amended over the years by the Commission as questions come up, or there have been changes in the law. And in the interest of brevity, I'll just give a chapter heading type summary.

There are definitions that use some terms that if there is a petition for recount filed, it will become very familiar to Commission members, disputed ballots, no votes, and valid or invalid votes are the language used in the guidelines and in the manuals that the State Board of Accounts uses to classify ballots.

Chapter 2, it discusses the procedure

Page 47

generally, mentions, of course, the State Board of Accounts' role in terms of providing staff for conducting the recount, the role of candidates and their watchers, and the processing of ballots.

I will skip to page 5. Chapter 3 has specific rules for tallying votes and a ballot card voting system. In Marion County, for example, an optical scan ballot system is the one used by most voters. This is what's meant by a ballot card voting system precinct. I'll mention that at least in past practice, candidates have always requested a manual count of those ballots as opposed to a machine reading.

Chapter 4 will not apply since there were no elections conducted this year on hand-counted paper.

Chapter 5, electronic voting system precinct, refers to a precinct, again, as in the case of Marion County, where there are direct report electronic voting systems also available, the procedures for the recount of the memory cartridge information and the paper tally sheet to be made.

Page 48 1 I'll be happy to answer any questions about 2 the guidelines. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Kristi, any additions? MS. ROBERTSON: No, except to say that there is an order, there is one amendment in front of the (inaudible), that is order 2006-02. Thank you, Kristi. MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission, in your 10 package you should have order 2006-02. It's 11 very straightforward. One of the changes in law 12 since 2004 has been the elimination of punch 13 card voting systems. There's one reference in 14 the guidelines to Chad, which would be repealed 15 and the remaining definitions renumbered. 16 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Okay. Questions 17 from members? 18 Seeing none, hearing none, I'll take a 19 motion to adopt the amendments of the recount 20 guidelines. 21 MR. DURNIL: So moved. 22 MR. DELANEY: I'll second. 23 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Any discussion? 24 Hearing none, all in favor signify by 25 saying aye.

Page 49 1 MR. DURNIL: Aye. 2 MR. DELANEY: Aye. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Any opposed? Hearing none, so moved. Thank you very much. We'll sign those as well. Review of matters pending before the Recount Commission. I don't believe we have any other matters. I was going to take that as 10 additional matters, but we can take that now, 11 that's fine. I'll take my copy of it. 12 I've not read this yet. We have before the 13 Commission a filed petition for protection, 14 possession and/or impoundment of election 15 materials for the election of Indiana State 16 Representative for District 31. 17 MS. ROBERTSON: 15. 18 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: I have 31 before 19 me. 20 MR. DELANEY: Well, you've got a different 21 one. 22 MS. ROBERTSON: There's two. 23 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: We'll operate off 24 these two documents. Two of them for 31. 25 MS. ROBERTSON: There's two.

Page 50 1 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Let's just put them 2 off. We'll take them off. Let's make sure we're all looking at the same one. Mr. Bock, are these your petitions? MR. BOCK: They are. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Is there any one besides 15 and 31? MR. BOCK: No, sir. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Just those two. So 10 we have them all? 11 MR. BOCK: Yes. 12 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Could you proceed, 13 please, with 31. 14 Thank you, Mr. Secretary and MR. BOCK: 15 Mr. Chairman and Mr. Delaney and Mr. Durnil. 16 House Districts 31 and 15, our present 17 understanding that in House District 31, the canvass is completed and the difference between 18 19 the candidate votes are only just 25 votes. 20 I am not sure whether the canvass is 21 complete in House District 15. I don't believe 22 that it is, and the vote totals in that 23 district, our understanding is only just 26 24 votes. 25 Given that our experience has been that

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 51

typically in this range recounts are requested, we felt that it was appropriate to come before the Commission and ask your protection, as is provided in the statute, of the ballots.

As the Commission is no doubt aware, without the filing of the recount petition, the Commission can impound, take possession of, transport, or otherwise protect any election records or equipment that the Commission determines may be the subject of a recount proceeding. And we believe that the precincts in these two districts, all of the precincts in these two districts, may be the subject of a recount proceeding.

So in anticipation of that, wanting to get the process moving, so that the process is fair, so that there are no questions pertaining to the protection of the materials in those districts, I have filed petitions on behalf of candidates Timothy Harris in the race for House District 31, and candidate Don Lehe in the race for House District 15.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Thanks, Mr. Bock. Would your arguments for granting this petition be the same for District 15, so we don't have to

Page 52 1 repeat the same argument? 2 MR. BOCK: Yes, the understanding that I have is that in House District 15, the difference is probably 26 votes and in House District 31 it's 25. HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: I would intend to take these petitions together here, that's why I asked. MR. BOCK: Yes, that's fine. 10 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: So we don't have to 11 repeat. 12 Any questions for Mr. Bock? 13 MR. DELANEY: Just a technical question. Ι 14 know we've got -- especially Mr. Skolnik in 15 here. 16 Do we do anything? Do they finish what 17 they're doing there before he impounds? That's 18 really my question. 19 When does the impoundment occur? 20 MR. BOCK: We would anticipate that it 21 would occur immediately. That notice would be 22 given to the state police and that they would, 23 immediately after, protect and secure the 24 records. 25 MR. DELANEY: Are the locals active with

any of this now?

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Have they done what they think they're going to do?

MR. BOCK: I do not know. House District 15 -- I've been told that in House District 31, which is Blackford and Grant Counties, that the process is complete.

And I do not know -- I know that the canvass was completed in Newton County, which is one of the four counties which have precincts in House District 15. They completed their canvass, and I cannot tell you for sure whether the other counties in that district there completed their canvassing process.

But our request is that there be immediate protection, security for those records.

MR. DELANEY: Would that allow the process to go forward locally or would that stop it?

MR. BOCK: I believe that that would be with the discretion of the recount director. But that the records would be secured by the State Board of Accounts.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: As a follow-up to Mr. Delaney's questions, I would ask counsel, are there provisions in Indiana Law for clerks

2

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 54

and administrators to work off copies of the count and material and anything like that so the work can continue?

MR. DELANEY: I just wonder if the state can stand there and watch them for security purposes, but let them do their job.

Maybe Brad has some experience in this.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: I'm sure there's something in the law that allows clerks to continue their work.

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, it addresses a similar situation. It's with regard to voter registration records that are impounded subject to a recount, and specifically states that if a voter registration office makes copies under the supervision of the state police, that they can, therefore, use those copies to update their records.

I'm not aware of a specific.

MR. DURNIL: Is that a new --

MR. KING: No, sir.

MR. DELANEY: Do we know what kind of voting devices they have in these particular districts?

MR. BOCK: We do, because it's a matter of

Page 55

record, but I don't have that information in front of me for each of the different counties in the six counties.

I know in Lake County it's Infinity machines. Of course, every county would have -- which are -- my understanding that those were DRE machines.

MR. DELANEY: Touch screen.

MR. BOCK: Yes. But, of course, every county would have paper ballots in the form of absentee ballots and any emergency ballots that might be.

MR. DELANEY: The reason I'm asking is two things, I don't want to actually give this away to the local, A; and B, I wouldn't want to stop them from doing something that might be useful to us by impounding and thereby making us do that which they would have done which would be useful.

That's what my concern is.

MS. ROBERTSON: There are a couple of deadlines that haven't run yet for a small group of counties, provisional ballots, that's 10 days after the election they can still count provisional ballots, and also military and

2

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 56

overseas ballots that were postmarked by the election, if they come in within 10 days after the election, those can be counted.

MR. DELANEY: And that's in addition to any ordinary process, which I don't know whether they've finished it or they've stalled or something.

So that's my only concern is that we -- as I say, I can count on Mr. Skolnik to use his judgment to use a gentle impoundment if it allows things to move forward.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Is there a member of the state police here? I was hoping they would give a report anyway.

I wonder if they could stand by and watch while business gets done; that would be my suggestion.

Do you have any comments?

MR. DELANEY: That's what I would recommend. As counsel's pointed out, there's some deadlines that have yet to run.

Provisional ballots 10 days, plus overseas ballots.

Certainly, in my mind, would be preferable, I would think, to impound if we have reason to

Page 57 1 believe there's a (inaudible) based on reports 2 from the media, as well as from counsel there's a strong possibility or the likelihood that there is going to be a recounting process. It certainly gives you -- certainly sets the standards for the highest level of integrity being (inaudible). HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Okay. MR. BOCK: Mr. Secretary, if I could 10 address one point. 11 Mr. (Inaudible), who is also entering an 12 appearance in this matter on behalf of candidate 13 Harris, pointed out that 3-12-10-5.9 --14 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: 3-12-10-5.9. 15 Yeah. MR. BOCK: 16 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Thank you. 17 Yes, here we go. 18 MR. BOCK: I think that may address that. 19 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: That's what I 20 thought. There we go. 21 MR. BOCK: (Inaudible) counting materials 22 so that they can (inaudible). 23 HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: We get the 24 original? 25 MR. BOCK: Yes.

2

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 58

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: So that's actually more work for the clerks, but they have to understand that.

MR. DELANEY: In House District, what are we looking at, 5,000 to 10,000 at least; something in that range?

MR. BOCK: About 8,000, 9,000 votes.

MR. DELANEY: Mr. Xerox is about to get rich again.

I'll leave it up to Mr. Skolnik. I'm in favor of these resolutions, I think we should pass these resolutions.

HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Thank you, I take that duly noted.

I have another question. You petitioned and asked for certain things, and then we have a standard and common order that we usually use at the Commission.

Can we quickly compare those two documents and see if you're satisfied with using ours for impoundment.

MR. KING: Yes, Mr. Chairman, just to say that if there is additional material requested for impoundment in the petition that's not already covered in the pre-drafted orders,

## November 10, 2006

	Page 59
1	Mr. Skolnik, as recount director, would have the
2	authority to impound that additional material.
3	HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: He would? Okay.
4	MR. KING: Yes.
5	HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: So we're okay.
6	MR. DELANEY: Between the two resolutions
7	you already passed, you think he can do anything
8	he needs to do; is that what you're saying?
9	MR. KING: Yes, sir.
10	MR. DELANEY: Okay.
11	HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: All right. Then I
12	will take a motion to implement order 2006-03,
13	which is an order of impoundment for District
14	31, and also order 2006-05, which is proposed
15	order for District 15.
16	MR. DELANEY: I assume they're identical?
17	HONORABLE TODD ROKITA: Yeah.
18	MR. DELANEY: Did counsel submit these?
19	(Thereupon, the tape recording ended.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	